S. No. 166

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP(C) No. 2511/2026
CM No.5463/2026

Shafia Tabasum & Ors …..Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Mohd Arif, Advocate

UT of J&K & Ors ….. Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Suneel Malhotra, GA

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
(14.08.2026)

01. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners at length and perused the record.

02. After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would feel satisfied, in case if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and be accorded due consideration to the same within some reasonable time, to which, Mr. Suneel Malhotra, learned GA who has waived notice on behalf of the respondents, is not averse provided the same is directed to be accorded consideration strictly under rule and as per law.

03. Since an innocuous prayer has been made and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents is not averse to the disposal of the instant writ petition at the very threshold, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the instant petition alive. Accordingly, the instant petition is ***disposed of*** by directing the respondent No.4 to treat this writ

petition as representation and take a decision thereon strictly under rules and as per law and pass a consideration order within a period of four weeks from the date a certified copy of this order alongwith complete set of writ petition are made available to the said respondent. The order of consideration, which is likely to be passed, be forwarded to the petitioners through registered post.

01. ***Disposed of***, as above alongwith connected application.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
14.08.2026
Vijay

HIGH COURT
OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
सत्यमेव जयते

Vijay Kumar
2026.08.14 16.59
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Jammu