Sr. No. 173

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP (C) No. 2519/2026
CM No. 5475/2026

Mohd. Rafeeq .....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Ch. Nayaz Ahmed Naz, Advocate

Vs

U.T. of J&K and others ..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG with
Ms. Saliqa Sheikh, Assisting Counsel

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
19.08.2026

1. After arguing for a while, when learned counsel for the petitioner was confronted with the maintainability of the instant petition by Mr. Raman Sharma, learned AAG appearing on behalf of the respondents, on the ground that the petitioner had already preferred a writ petition, registered as WP(C) No. 1893/2026, which came to be dismissed by a Coordinate Bench vide order/judgment dated 17.07.2026, and the petitioner has preferred the instant writ petition by twisting the grounds and making a false statement before the Court, which is not permissible in law. The act of the petitioner in filing the instant petition tantamount to playing fraud with the Court. The learned counsel, when confronted with the same, makes a statement that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition unconditionally.

2. In the light of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the warning that the petitioner shall be careful in future as the act of the petitioner, in the instant case of filing the instant petition falls within the realm of abuse of the process of law.

3. The writ petition is, accordingly, ***dismissed as withdrawn,*** with a warning to the petitioner to be careful in future.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu
19.08.2026
Nikhil

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH