Sr. No.66

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CPSW No.85/2013 in
SWP No.2587/2012

Manzoor Ilahi …. Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Amritqash Sharma, Advocate vice
Mr. Rahul Raina, Advocate

V/s

Tanveer Jahan and another ….Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
02.11.2026

01. The instant contempt petition arises out of an order dated 07.12.2012 passed by this Court, whereby the writ petition preferred by the petitioner was disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner, if any, pending before them, in accordance with the applicable law. The said direction was required to be complied with within a period of two months from the date a copy of the order was served upon respondents, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the consideration order, in the event the same went against the petitioner.

02. Since the aforesaid order was not complied with, the instant petition came to be filed, which has remained pending before this Court for the last 13 years without the respondents having taken steps to comply with the directions contained in the aforesaid order.

03. Today, when the matter was taken up for consideration, respondent No.2-Sh. Rajesh Kumar Agastam, Chief Engineer, PW(R&B) Department, Jammu, appeared in person and assured this Court that the order passed by this

Court would be complied with within a period of one week from today. In view of the assurance extended by respondent No.2, who is present in person, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the instant contempt petition pending and the same is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to comply the order dated 07.12.2012 within extended period of one week from today. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings are closed at this stage.

04. It is, however, made clear that, in the event the order/judgment passed by this Court, as referred to hereinabove, is not complied with within the extended period of one week from today, the petitioner will be at liberty to seek revival of the instant contempt petition. In that eventuality, this Court will be constrained to take appropriate coercive measures against the respondent(s) including the Chief Engineer, who is present in person today.

05. The contempt petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu:
02.11.2026
Shammi

SHAMMI KUMAR
2026.11.03 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document