Sr. No. 33

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

WP (C) No. 256/2022

Manzoor Hussain and others …..Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Achal Sharma, Advocate vice
Mr. Syed Nadeem Hamdani, Advocate

Vs

U.T. of J&K and another ….. Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG with
Ms. Saliqa Sheikh, Assisting Counsel

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
01.09.2026

1. The instant petition, with the efflux of time and also in the light of the nature of the prayer, has been rendered infructuous in the light of the order/judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled ***"Union Territory of J&K and Ors. Vs. Saba Wani"*** decided on 30.04.2026.

2. Mr. Raman Sharma, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has drawn the attention of this Court to the prayer clause of the instant petition, a perusal whereof reveals that the petitioners, through the medium of the instant petition, has sought the following reliefs:

> ***"i) Certiorari, Quashing Government order No. 919-Edu of 2018 dated 16.11.2018 issued by the government in the school education Department whereby Rahber-e-Taleem scheme for engagement/appointment of persons as Rahber-e-Taleem teachers in various schools has been formally closed, effecting even the persons who have already faced the process of selection and whose cases are either in the pipeline or subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court both at Jammu and at Srinagar, being arbitrary, unjust and illegal.***
> ***ii) Mandamus, commanding the respondents to consider the case of petitioner for her appointment as Rahber-e-Taleem teacher keeping the case out of purview of the impugned Government order No. 919-Edu of 2018 dated 16.11.2018."***

3. Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that insofar as the prayer of the petitioners seeking quashment of impugned Government Order No. 919-Edu of 2018 dated 16.11.2018 is concerned, the same has been rendered infructuous in the light of the order/judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court mentioned (Supra). Insofar as the second prayer of the petitioner is concerned, the same cannot be acceded to at this stage, as the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court is applicable only to the extent of the candidates figuring in the select panel who were litigating before this Court.

4. The writ petition does not disclose that the petitioners figure in the select panel. Therefore, the prayer of the petitioners, insofar as the prayer second is concerned, the same cannot be acceded to at this stage. Thus, on both counts, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. I am in agreement with the arguments advanced by learned Senior counsel for the respondents that the instant writ petition, in the light of the directions passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, has been rendered infructuous.

5. The instant petition is, accordingly, ***dismissed*** as having been rendered infructuous, along with all connected application(s).

(Wasim Sadiq Nargal)
Judge

Jammu
01.09.2026
Nikhil