

OD-02

WPO/87/2026
IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

Amit Basu
Versus
The Kolkata Municipal Corporation & Ors.

Before:
The Hon'ble Justice RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY
Date: 11th March 2026

Appearance:
Mr. Jaydip Kar, Sr. Advocate
Ms. Piyali Sengupta, Advocate
Ms. Jyoti Routh, Advocate
Ms. Deblina Banerjee, Advocate
Ms. Nafisa Yasmin, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Sandipan Banerjee, Advocate
Mr. Gopal Ch. Das, Advocate
for the KMC

The Court: 1. This is an application, inter alia, praying for a direction upon the municipal authorities to allow the petitioner's application for mutation by recording the name of the petitioner as a sole owner in respect of the premises nos.16/1A and 16/1B, Nandalal Bose Lane, Kolkata – 700003.

2. Mr. Kar, learned senior advocate representing the petitioner by drawing attention of this Court to page 141 of the writ petition would submit that the application for mutation is pending consideration before the municipal authorities since 2nd September 2014. Although the petitioner had acted in terms of the direction issued by the municipal authorities as noted in their communication dated 11th June 2015 and

had caused paper publication and had also caused the affidavit to be affirmed before the First Class Magistrate, the municipality has, however, not proceeded thereafter, except, for calling the petitioner for hearing by a communication dated 6th August 2025. Mr. Kar would submit that although the petitioner was represented before the municipal authorities on 6th August 2025, the petitioner has since then not heard anything thereafter and it is in these aforesaid circumstances the writ petition has been filed.

3. Mr. Banerjee, learned advocate appears for the municipal authorities.

4. Having heard the learned advocates representing the respective parties and noting that an application for mutation is pending consideration before the municipal authorities since the year 2014, I am of the view that such application must be disposed of by the municipal authorities. If any there is any shortcoming in the application or the same requires any other compliance by the petitioner, the municipal authorities must notify the petitioner of the same. Following the above, the application shall be considered in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order. It is expected that the decision in this regard shall be taken within a period of 12 weeks from date.

The writ petition thus stands disposed of.

(RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY, J.)