

AD 7
March 23, 2026
Ct. 6
ssi

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

CRM(A) 1006 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kuchlibari P.S. Case No.127 of 2025 dated 18.09.2025 under Sections 329 (2)/64/62/117 (2)/118(2)/3(5) of the BNS

And

In the matter of: *Sanjay Roy @ Sanjay Kumar Roy & another.*
... *petitioners*

Mr. Subhasish Misra
Mr. Satyajit Paul
Mr. Rounak Ghosh

... for the petitioners

Mr. Bhaskar Das
Mr. Chattu Roy

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The principal allegation is about an attempt to rape. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. A co-accused was even granted anticipatory bail by the Session Court.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of the victim and the statements of other witnesses. According to the minor victim, the accused had tried to kidnap her and commit rape upon her. When some of

the locals came and tried to rescue her, they were severely assaulted by the present petitioners. Learned counsel refers to the injury reports of the victims, some of which show assault by lathi, iron rod and hammer resulting in injuries on vital parts of the body like head and arms. Some of the offending weapons have been seized.

Considering the above, the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged roles ascribed to the present petitioners, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)