

16.03.2026
Item No.26
Court No.7
CHC
(Rejected)

Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

CRM(NDPS) 670 of 2025

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023; in connection with Mathabhanga Police Station Case Number 137 of 2025, dated 27.02.2025 under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c)/25/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of : **Ranjan Biswas**

..... petitioner

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder, Advocate
...for the petitioner

Mr. Kallol Acharjee, Advocate
Mr. Aniruddha Biswas, Advocate
...for the State

1. Petitioner prays for bail.
2. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner refers to the three depositions of the three prosecution witnesses. He submits that, the seizure list witnesses stated at the trial that, they did not see any person at the time of arrest.
3. State is represented.
4. Trial is in progress. Evidence of the prosecution witnesses is being recorded. Court is informed that, prosecution proposes to examine 11 witnesses out of which, three were examined. The evidence of the prosecution witnesses are to be assessed at the trial. In fact, if the defence leads any evidence such evidence is also required to be

assessed. At this stage, it is improper to return a finding on the quality of the evidence led by the prosecution when other prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

5. Commercial quantity of narcotics was seized from the possession of the petitioner.
6. Petitioner is unable to overcome the restrictions under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, 1985.
7. Consequently, the prayer for bail is rejected.
8. **CRM (NDPS) 670 of 2025 is dismissed.**

(Debangsu Basak, J.)