D/L 71

19.11.2026
DB/CM
ct.no.5
Allowed

In the High Court at Calcutta

Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

C.R.M (M) 420 of 2026

Petitioner
Vs
The State of West Bengal

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Matigara** Police Station Case No. **14** of **2026** dated **17.09.2026** under Sections **6** of the POCSO Act.

In the matter of : Petitioner

...Petitioner.

Ms. Esha Acharya
Ms. Ipsita Saha ...for the Petitioner

Ms. Panchali Deb Sikdar (Nag), Adv.
Ms. Smita Mitra Sinha

...for the State

Ms. Avipsha Dutta Roy

...for the O.P No. 3

1. In compliance with the order dated 17th November, 2026, the Learned Advocate representing the victim has filed an affidavit which is self-explicit. Let the same be kept on record.
2. The Learned Advocate representing the petitioner submitted the victim to have been married to the petitioner prior to institution of the instant complaint while she was in the hospital during the course of delivery of her child wherein her age was detected as 17 years by the concerned doctor and a complaint had been lodged. Presently the victim has become major and has been residing with the petitioner along with the child prior to the date of arrest of the petitioner.
3. The Learned Advocate representing the State did not oppose to the prayer for grant for bail.

4. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case the petitioner is enlarged on bail.
5. Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Petitioner** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned Judge, Special Court, (under POCSO Act) at Jalpaiguri.
6. The petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever and on further condition the petitioner shall meet with the Officer-in-Charge of the concerned Police Station once in a month until further orders.
7. In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the trial Court without justifiable cause, the trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail automatically without reference to this Court.
8. Accordingly, CRM (M) **420** of 2026 is **allowed**.
9. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.
10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)