

13.01.2026
Serial no. 20
[G.S.D]

**CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

CRM (A) 991 of 2025

In re : An Application under Section **482** of the BNSS, 2023/under
Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in connection with **Sahebganj Police
Station Case No. 236 of 2025 dated 30.04.2025 u/s
21(b)/22(c)/29 of the NDPS Act, 1985.**
-And-

In the matter of : Rabbani Hossain ... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Subhasish Misra
Mr. Satyajit Paul
Mr. Rounak Ghosh
... for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Ujjwal Luksom
Ms. Namrata Das
... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with the
instant case as he happens to be the son of one Hamidul
Hoque.

According to the petitioner as the house belongs to
one Hamidul Hoque, the present petitioner has been
implicated. However, the recovery was from one Nurbanu
Bibi, wife of Endadul Hoque, who has been arrested in
connection with the instant case.

Learned advocate for the State submits that there were recoveries of Yaba tablets which were of commercial quantity of contraband as also the factum of police authorities being harassed and heckled with the aid of other accused persons. Learned advocate also added that anticipatory bail has been granted to those persons who were not involved in the offence under the NDPS Act but for the offence under the provisions of BNS for obstructing public servants from carrying on their duties.

Be that as it may, so far as the present petitioner is concerned, there has been no further recovery, except the *only* accusation of fleeing away from the spot.

Having considered the above, I am of the view that the petitioner may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **Allowed**.

Accordingly, I direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer of the case and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

and/or under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the charge-sheet has been submitted in connection with the instant case, this order of anticipatory bail will remain in force for a period of eight weeks from date.

Accordingly, CRM(A) 991 of 2025 is disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)