

February 16, 2026

46 ARDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (NDPS) 591 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Dhupguri** Police
Station case no. **191** of **2025** dated **24/5/2025** under Sections
21(c)/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In Re : **Mir Kashim Rahaman**

... Petitioner.

Adv. Sourav Sarkar,

... for the Petitioner.

Adv. Nilay Chakraborty,

Adv. Namrata Das

...for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than 200 days and prays
for bail primarily on the ground that grounds of arrest were not
communicated to him at the time of his arrest and the search and
seizure were not videographed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
is a driver by profession and was called to the police station
following which he was arrested and recovery made.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

Contraband substance of commercial quantity has been
recovered from the possession of the petitioner pursuant to his
leading statement. Grounds of arrest have been communicated to
the petitioner.

In view of statutory restrictions laid down under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

CRM (NDPS) 591 of 2025 is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)