

17.02.2026

16
jb.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (A) 957 of 2025

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sahebganj Case No. 580 of 2025 dated 01.10.2025 under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c)/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In Re : **Aalemul Haque**

... Petitioner

Mr. Prajnadeepta Roy
Sabir Ali
Mr. Jaimallya Bhattacharyya

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty
Mr. Biswarup Roy

... for the State

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no nexus with the seized vehicle and has been implicated on mistaken identity. The name appearing in the Government Order pertaining to the vehicle is different from that of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The registered owner of the vehicle Mausumi Aktar Begam has stated in her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS that she authorised the petitioner to drive the vehicle. She has submitted the authorisation letter issued on 27th June, 2025 wherefrom the petitioner's name transpires.

In view of the prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, this Court is inclined to hold that his custodial interrogation is necessary for unravelling the truth.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRM (A) 957 of 2025 is, thus, disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)