

13.01.2026

Item No.9

Ct.No.3

dc.

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI

C.R.M. (A) 916 of 2025

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Matigara Police Station Case No. 683 of 2025 dated 26.10.2025 under Section 64 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Sekh Farhan Alam @ Sekh Farhan Mahafuz**
... Petitioner.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Das,
Mr. Dhiman Sil

... For the State.

Mr. Somraj Paul,
Mr. Sampad Roy

... For the *de facto* complainant.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

Pursuant to the report submitted, learned advocate for the *de facto* complainant enters appearance.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant are major and there was a consensual relationship and the charge-sheet having been submitted, further detention of the petitioner is unwarranted.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has produced the case diary.

I have considered the medical report as well as the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS. Having considered the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 916 of 2025, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)