

05.01.2026

Item No.28

Ct.No.3

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

C.R.M. (A) 906 of 2025

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Sahebganj Police Station Case No. 461 of 2024 dated 04.08.2024 under Sections 137(2)/140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Sections 363/365 of the Indian Penal Code adding Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and Sections 9/11 of Child Marriage Act.

And

In Re : **Pabitra Barman**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Guha,
Ms. Ankita Nag

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Saikat Chatterjee,
Mr. Chattu Roy

... For the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that there was a relationship between the petitioner and the victim girl, consequent to which they fled away. As consensual relationship between the petitioner and the victim is established and charge-sheet has been submitted, learned advocate for the petitioner prays for anticipatory bail on any stringent condition.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has produced the case diary and drawn the attention of the

Court to the statement of the victim as well as the medical examination of the victim.

I have considered the report of the medical officer of SD Hospital, Dinhata, Cooch Behar and on assessment of the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 906 of 2025, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)