

**08.01.2026**

Item No.7

Ct.No.3

dc.

**Rejected**

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

**C.R.M. (A) 879 of 2025**

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Sitai Police Station Case No. 14 of 2017 dated 11.02.2017 under Sections 498A/326/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and added Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : **Noor Mohammad Mia**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Guha

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Kallol Acharjee,  
Mr. Chattu Roy

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent of the charges and has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case. It has also been submitted that the petitioner is the brother-in-law of the deceased and has been implicated in connection with this case because of trivial family disputes.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has produced the case diary and drawn the attention of the Court to the dying declaration of the deceased.

On an assessment of the dying declaration, I find that there is direct complicity of the present petitioner. As such, I am not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to

the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 879 of 2025, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**