D/L 39

26.11.2026
Rohit, A.R.(Ct.)
ct.no.5
Allowed

In the High Court at Calcutta

Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

C.R.M (M) 298 of 2026

Pankaj Kumar
Vs
The State of West Bengal

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **New Jalpaiguri/NJP** Police Station Case No. **236** of **2025** dated **10.04.2025** under Section **69** of the BNS.
And

In the matter of : Pankaj Kumar

...Petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Guha Roy
Mr. Ananda Paul

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Janardan Periwal
Mr. Bijay Bikram Das
Mr. Mayank Bhandari

...for the State

1. Considered the rival submissions of the learned Advocate representing the respective parties.

2. The learned Advocate representing the State has furnished the case details in connection with NJP police station case no. 1395 of 2020 dated 29.11.2020 filed under Section 498A/13 IPC wherein the charges had been framed on 4th September, 2023 and the next date for hearing had been fixed on 5th January, 2026 for ER of W/W/A against CSW 1 & CS 2 vide order no. 12 dated 19.09.2026. Let the status report as aforesaid be kept on record.

3. The contention of the learned Advocate representing the petitioner is substantiated through the aforesaid report endorsing the victim lady to be married. The statements recorded under Section 180 BNSS and 183 BNSS along with disability certificate at Page 22 of the Case Diary and the medical report at Page 14 of the

Case Diary prima facie implies a consensual relationship to have gone sour. The petitioner is in custody since 17th April, 2026 and charge-sheet to have been filed on 6th June, 2025, no further custodial interrogation is necessary and the petitioner is released on bail.

4. Acordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Pankaj Kumar** shall furnish bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalpaiguri.

5. The petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever and on further condition the petitioner shall meet with the Officer-in-Charge of the concerned Police Station once in a month until further orders and the petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of the learned Trial Court, without prior permission.

6. In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the trial Court without justifiable cause, the trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail automatically without reference to this Court.

7. Accordingly, CRM (M) **298** of 2026 is **allowed**.

8. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

9. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)