

24.02.2026.
Item No. 59
Court No.05
BR

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

W.P.A. 2148 of 2022
With
CAN 1 of 2025
Shib Prasad Chakraborty and anr.
-vs-
State of West Bengal and Ors.

Mr. Narendra Nath Das,
Mr. Pragyaadip Roy Basunia,
Mr. Jogesh Ch. Roy.
... for the respondent no. 6, 9 and 10

1. None appears for the petitioner on call.
2. CAN 1 of 2025 has been filed praying for substitution of the deceased, respondent no. 10 who expired on 7.10.2024.
3. CAN 1 of 2025 has been filed on 12.8.2025 and it thus barred by limitation and as it is not moved, the same stands rejected.
4. Respondent no.10 have not moved and also not preferred the CAN application within the period of limitation, stands rejected.
5. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 10 is present.
6. It appears that the writ application had been preferred praying for direction upon the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 2.11.2021 by giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Petitioner did not appear before the Court also on the earlier date i.e. 10.8.2023.
7. Accordingly, the writ application stands dismissed for default.
8. Connected application, if any, stands disposed of.
9. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.
10. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the learned

counsel for the parties on usual undertakings.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)