

17.02.2026

11
jb.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (A) 423 of 2025

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Mathabhanga Case No. 362 of 2025 dated 12.05.2025 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

And

In Re : **Asraful Alam**

... Petitioner

Mr. Anirban Banerjee
Mr. Tapabrata Ghosh

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Dhiman Sil

... for the State

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. No specific overt act has been attributed to him. There is delay in lodging the complaint. Charge sheet has been submitted. His custodial interrogation is not required. He may be granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner appears to be the principal accused who dealt the fatal on the victim. Injuries are extremely serious in nature. Delay in lodging the complaint has been explained in the complaint itself.

In view of the above, this Court is inclined to hold that this is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

CRM (A) 423 of 2025 is, thus, disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)