

**In The High Court at Calcutta
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction**

Appellate Side

Coram:

The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta

CRR 275 of 2025

Dipangshu Chakraborty

Vs.

State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the petitioner : Mr. Jaydeep Kanta Bhowmick

Mr. S. Bhowmick

Mr. Subham Kumar

For the de-facto complainant: Ms. Priti Das

For the State : Mr. Abhijit Sarkar

Mr. Arjun Chowdhury

Heard on : 25/03/2026

Judgment on : 25/03/2026

Jay Sengupta, J.:- This is an application for quashing of proceeding in G.R Case No. 189 of 2022 pending before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalpaiguri arising out of Kotwali P.S Case No. 24 of 2022 dated 09/01/2022 under Sections 406/419/420/380 of the IPC.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner was having a relationship with the opposite party no. 2 and the two were living together for some time. Afterwards, the petitioner was arrested in connection with another case. The opposite party no. 2 came to know about it and took steps to have him released on bail. When she returned to her own house, she found certain ornaments missing and under misconception of facts, she lodged the present FIR against the petitioner. However, subsequently, a settlement and compromise has been arrived at between the petitioner and the private opposite party. The proceeding may be quashed on the ground of such settlement.

Learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant submits that the disputes that had led to the registration of the FIR have all been settled between the private parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a settlement is arrived at between the private parties. He refers to the subsequent statement made by the

de-facto complainant before the I.C, Kotwali P.S stating that at present she was not having any allegations against the petitioner.

It appears that a settlement has been arrived at between the private parties of all disputes that had led to the initiation of the criminal proceeding.

Considering the above and in the interest of justice, I hereby quash the impugned proceeding on the ground of settlement.

Accordingly, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)