

15.01.2026
Item no. 07.
Court No.3.
Rakib

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction**

CRR 147 of 2025

With

CRAN 1 of 2025

CRAN 2 of 2025

**Smt. Ruchi Singhal @ Ruchi Singhal Agarwal
VS
The State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Nabankar Paul
Ms. Sutapa Sen Paul
Mr. Nikhil Mohpal
Mr. Ankur Agarwal
Mr. Bodhisatya Ghosh

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, Ld. APP
Mr. Abhijit Sarkar

.....for the State.

Mr. Sandip Mondal
Mr. Amit Saha
Ms. Poonam Kaur

...for the Opposite Party no.2

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner and de-facto complainant have approached this Court after an amicable settlement was arrived at between them in connection with Cyber Crime PS, Siliguri Police Commissionerate case no. 32/25 dated 10.03.2025. The investigation of the said case is pending.

The Case Diary has been produced and a joint compromise application has been filed by the petitioner as well as the de-facto complainant. Both the parties have prayed for quashing of the proceedings.

I have taken into account the nature of the allegations and the mutual/amicable settlement arrived at by and between the parties, the petitioner and the opposite party no.2 are husband and wife and the genesis of the dispute arose out of matrimonial discord. Having considered the nature of the allegations and the fact that the investigation although it has commenced but has not been taken to its logical conclusion because of the process of expert opinion yet to be collected, I am of the view that in the changed circumstances further continuation of the proceedings would be not furthering the cause of justice.

Accordingly, all further proceedings of Cyber Crime PS, Siliguri Police Commissionerate case no. 32/25 dated 10.03.2025 under the Section 66/66B/66C of the Information Technology Act, 2000 is hereby **quashed**.

Consequently, CRR 147 of 2025 along with CRAN 2 of 2025 be hereby **allowed**.

Pending application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

Case Diary be returned to the learned advocate for the State.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)