

27/03/2026
D/L – 9
Court No.6
S. Kundu
Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

CRM (A) 223 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Mathabhanga P.S case no. 1041 of 2025 dated 25/12/2025 under sections 189(2)/329(3)/126(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/103(1)/61(2) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Swapan Mandal & Ors.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Sudip Guha
Ms. Ankita Nag

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty
Mr. Chattu Roy

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners were, however, named in the FIR and thereafter, in the charge sheet. Ten other co-accused are in custody.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the case diary including the FIR, the statements of witnesses, the post-mortem report and the injury reports. He submits that two persons were done to death by the assailants and several others were injured.

3. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials including the FIR which names the present petitioners specifically as two of the assailants, the statements of other witnesses and the *post-mortem* reports, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)