

25.03.2026

Ct. No. 5
Sl. No.40
as

In The High Court at Calcutta
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

W. P. A. 471 of 2026

Sukla Debnath
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury
Mr. Soumyajit Laskar
... ... for the petitioner

Mr. Sunit Kumar Roy
... for the SSC

Mr. Arindam Mitra
Mr. Kanika Saha Sarkar
... ... for the State

1. Affidavit-of-service filed in court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition alleging inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in not considering the petitioner's application for transfer dated 13.01.2022 from Mayarhat High School (H.S.), Coochbeher.
3. It is the case of the petitioner that she was appointed as an Assistant Teacher in English pass under General category vide joining letter dated 20.12.2014 at Mayarhat High School (H.S.), Coochbehar. The petitioner submits that she is residing at Coochbehar district and she needs to travel 31 kilometers every day to reach her working place. She is facing serious gynecological disorders and hence, it is difficult for her to commute 62 kilometers to and fro on a daily basis. The petitioner had fallen ill and due to her serious medical condition, she applied for transfer on 13.01.2022. The said application had reached the West Bengal Central

School Service Commission on 18.02.2022. However, till date, no decision has been taken on the aforesaid application of the petitioner.

4. Learned Advocate for the respondent-West Bengal Central School Service Commission submits that the competent authority has no objection in considering the application of the petitioner dated 13.01.2022 in accordance with law.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the respondent-West Bengal Central School Service Commission, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his client shall be satisfied if the application dated 13.01.2022 is decided in a time bound manner by the competent authority.

6. Accordingly, this Court directs the Secretary, West Bengal Central School Service Commission (respondent no.4) to consider and decide the application of the petitioner dated 13.01.2022 in accordance with law and in terms of the relevant rules, by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

7. Needless to mention that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner and all issues are left open to be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.

9. Since no affidavits have been filed by the respondents, the allegations made in the writ petition shall not be deemed to have been admitted.

10. Let urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on usual undertaking.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)