

24.03.2026
41
as

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

WPA 443 of 2026

**Sujit Saha
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Debajit Kundu.
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Hirak Barman,
Mr. Bikash Singha.
...for the State.

Ms. Mousumi Bhowa,
Mr. Nigam Mittal.
...for DPSC Coochbehar.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition alleging inaction on the part of the respondent authority in not considering the petitioner's application dated 15.12.2025 seeking transfer from Dakshin Jhalihali 4th Plan Primary School, Tufanganj Circle, District-Coochbehar.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as an Assistant Teacher in Dakshin Jhalihali 4th Plan Primary School, Tufanganj Circle, District-Coochbehar vide appointment

letter dated 03.02.2017. Learned Counsel further submits that the petitioner is residing approximately 31 kilometers away from the place of work. He further states that the petitioner is a surplus teacher in the said school. He states that the distance from the petitioner's residence to the school is causing inconvenience since the petitioner has a child aged about four years. He further states that the application of the petitioner dated 15.12.2025 has still not been considered by the competent authority.

4. Learned Counsel for the respondent No.6 submits that the authority shall consider the representation of the petitioner.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall be satisfied if the same is decided within a time bound manner.

6. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the parties and perusing the materials on record and without entering into the merits of the claim of the petitioner, this Court directs the respondent No.6 to decide the petitioner's representation dated 15.12.2025 within a period of eight weeks from date in accordance with law and the relevant rules by way of speaking order.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim of the petitioner. If the application of the petitioner for transfer is allowed, the necessary consequential steps shall be taken without any further delay.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

9. Since no affidavits have been called for, all allegations made in the writ petition shall be deemed to have been denied.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)