

19.03.2026
Sl. No.15
Ct. 06
NB

Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

CRM (A) 144 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sahebganj PS Case No.683/2024 dated 29.11.2024 under 20(a)(i)/29 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of: Anjun Barman @ Arjun Barman

... petitioner

Mr. Subhasish Misra,
Mr. Satyajit Paul,
Mr. Rounak Ghosh.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee,
Mr. Chattu Roy.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the amount of contraband was not properly quantified. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner is the owner of the land where the illegal poppy cultivation was going on.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)