

AD 13
March 19, 2026
Ct. 6
SG

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

CRM(A) 130 of 2026

Reject

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with New Jalpaiguri P.S. Case No.148 of 2025 dated 10.03.2025 under Section 108 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: *Kanai Pramanik*

... *petitioner*

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharjee
Mr. Rohan Bavishi
Mr. Alok Sah
Ms. Rajashree Mukherjee

... for the petitioner

Mr. A. S. Chakraborty
Mr. Arjun Chowdhury

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and relies on the statements of witnesses including the statement of the alleged victim recorded before the learned Magistrate and the post-mortem report.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the fact that a proclamation has been issued against the petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)