

February 17, 2026

61 ARDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (A) 96 of 2026

In Re : An application for bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Alipurduar
Women** Police Station Case No. **68** of **2024** dated **17/10/2024**
under Sections **85/109/123** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In Re : **Imran Miya**

... Petitioner

Adv. Sudip Guha Roy,
Adv. Ananda Paul,

... for the Petitioner.

Adv. Ujjwal Luksom,
Adv. Bhaskar Das
Adv. Subhasish Misra,

..for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
is the husband of the defacto complainant and has been falsely
implicated. Co accused are on bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner being the husband of the defacto complainant
allegedly poured poison into the mouth of the victim.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima
facie involvement of the petitioner therein, prayer for anticipatory
bail is rejected.

CRM (A) 96 of 2026 is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be
given to the parties, upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)

