

AD 13
March 25, 2026
Ct. 6
ssi

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

CRM(A) 88 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sitai P.S. Case No.60 of 2025 dated 12.03.2025 under Sections 20 (b) (ii) (c)/ 29 of the NDPS Act .
And

In the matter of: *Ramkrishna Basu @ Haran Basu*
... *petitioner*

Mr. Bibek Tarafder
Mr. Gopal Roy
... for the petitioner

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Chattu Roy
... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there is no proof that the property from which the contraband was recovered was his. He has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the case diary and the report filed earlier. He relies on a statement of a neighbor that the seizure was done from the house of the present petitioner.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in

Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)