

AD 12
March 25, 2026
Ct. 6
ssi

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

CRM(A) 82 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sahebganj P.S. Case No.384 of 2025 dated 08.07.2025 under Sections 21 (c)/22 (b)/25/29 of the NDPS Act. And

In the matter of: *Mijanur Rahman @ Rahaman* ... *petitioner*

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder
... for the petitioner

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Ld. APP
Mr. Bhaskar Das
... for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is no incriminating material available against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that other than the statement of the co-accused, there are phone call conversations between the petitioner and the principal accused at the relevant time.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)