

19.03.2026
Sl. No.06
Ct. 06
NB

Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

CRM (A) 35 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sitai PS Case No.60/2004 dated 22.11.2024 under Sections 498A and 307 added Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: Chhalima Bibi @ Aoli Bibi

... petitioner

Mr. Sudip Guha
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty Id.APP,
Mr. Aniruddha Biswas.
..for the State.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. She is only the second wife of the husband of the alleged victim.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that although the case was started in November, 2004, the petitioner is praying anticipatory bail in 2026. He relies on the postmortem report, the statements of other witnesses and a dying declaration of the victim implicating the present petitioner as the one who had poured the kerosene oil and set the victim on fire.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)