

February 18, 2026

39 ARDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (M) 20 of 2026

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Mekhliganj**
Police Station Case No. **148** of **2025** dated **17/5/2025** under
Sections **103(i)/239/3(5)** of the BNS.

And

In Re : **Dipak Barman**

... Petitioner

Adv. Madhushri Dutta,

... for the Petitioner.

Adv. Saikat Chatterjee,

Adv. Dr. Arjun Chowdhury,

..for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than 200 days and prays
for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case is
based on circumstantial evidence. There is no eye witness. The
petitioner has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner is the grandson of the victim who was
murdered. It is a fact that there is no eye witness to the incident.
However, the offending weapon has been recovered pursuant to the
leading statement of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody for
about 200 days. Offence, if proved, shall attract mandatory life
imprisonment.

Considering the material on record and gravity of the offence,
prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

CRM (M) 20 of 2026 is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)