

13.02.2026

58

jb.

jdt.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 12 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Matigara
Police Station Case No. 747/2024 dated 21.12.2024 under
Sections 329(3)/115(2)/117(2)/303(2)/326(g)/351(2)/3(5)/11(2)(b)
of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

And

In Re : Md. Salman @ Suliaman

Mr. Sourav Ganguly
Ms. Sushma Giri
Mr. Imran Khan
Ms. Rishita Chakraborty
Mr. Bibhash Kumar Nandi

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Dhiman Sil

... For the State

Mr. Sayan De
Ms. Esha Acharya
Mr. Rimik Chakraborty

... For the Defacto Complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
connection with an earlier complaint lodged against the
petitioner being G.R. case no 4342/2024 the petitioner was
released on bail subject to condition that he would meet the
investigating officer once a week. The petitioner made all
efforts to comply with the said direction but failed due to
non-cooperation on the part of the investigating officer. The

petitioner filed an application complaining such fact before the learned Magistrate pursuant to which a report was called for. The present complaint has been lodged subsequently on 25th December, 2024 on allegations of Section 111 of the BNS along with other allegations for which the bail granted to the petitioner was cancelled and he was taken into custody. The petitioner seeks bail.

Learned counsels for the State and the defacto complaint oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. In turning down the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner an Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court has observed that the petitioner indulged in similar offences after being granted bail and a graver offence under Section 111 of the BNS was also added. It is a fact that several criminal proceedings are pending against the petitioner. Most of the proceedings have been initiated prior to the petitioner filing a title suit in respect of the land in question seeking a decree for declaration and injunction. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner of the land in question in respect of which several subsequent deeds of sale have been executed by fictitious persons. Such fact shall be adjudicated at the appropriate stage of the civil suit pending before the learned trial Court.

In view of the material on record and several criminal proceedings pending against the petitioner, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

CRM(M) 12 of 2026 is disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)