

February 13, 2026

48 ARDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (M) 4 of 2026

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Kotwali** Police
Station Case No. **361** of **2025** dated **28/6/2025** under Sections
103(1)/3(5)/303(2)/317(2) of the BNS.

And

In Re : **Mrinal Roy**

... Petitioner

Adv. Jaydeep Kanta Bhowmik,
Adv. Sayantan Bhowmik,
Adv. Shubham Kumar,
Adv. Sayantani Das,

... for the Petitioner.

Adv. Nilay Chakraborty,
Adv. Sagnik Shankar Sikder,

..for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for 736 days and prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co accused
similarly circumstanced as the petitioner has been granted bail by
the learned Sessions Judge. He is not named in the FIR. His further
detention is not required.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner has been implicated by the mother of the
deceased. It is a fact that the co accused who has also been
implicated by the deceased's mother has been granted bail. Learned
counsel for the State is requested to take necessary steps for
cancellation of the said bail in accordance with law, if required,
upon perusal of the material on record.

Considering the gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the gruesome murder, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

CRM (M) 4 of 2026 is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)