

07.01.2026

Item No.48

Ct.No.3

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

C.R.M. (A) 3 of 2026

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Falakata Police Station Case No. 476 of 2025 dated 29.09.2025 under Sections 85/109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Ramjan Ali and others**

... Petitioners.

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder

... For the Petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee,
Mr. Chattu Roy

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 is the father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is the elder brother-in-law, petitioner no.3 is the sister-in-law (wife of petitioner no.2) and petitioner no.4 is the sister-in-law (wife of another brother-in-law) of the victim.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has produced the case diary and drawn the attention of the Court to various injury reports including the statement of doctor as also the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS.

Having considered the totality of the circumstances and the fact that at the same breath, the victim has also

exonerated one of the in-laws while implicating rest of the in-laws, there is logic behind the complicity involved in the prosecution case so far as the present petitioners are concerned. Accordingly, I am of the opinion that this is not a fit case for granting privilege of anticipatory bail to the present petitioners. Consequently, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 3 of 2026, is, thus, dismissed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)