

AD 55
January 30, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 45 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Harishchandrapur
P.S. Case No.869 of 2025 dated 19.11.2025 under Sections
329(3)/117(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS.

And

In the matter of:

Rahaman @ Abdur Rahaman @ Abdur Rahman and another
... petitioners

Mr. Raja Das

... for the petitioners

Mr. S.S. Imam

Mr. S. Bhakat

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there was a
dispute between family members over demarcation of land. There
were case and counter case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory
bail, relies on the injury reports showing infliction of injuries on vital
parts of the body like head and statements of the victim and the other
witnesses and submits that five persons had received injuries in all.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials
available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for
granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be
supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)