

January 28, 2026
Sl. No.28
Court No.1
s.biswas

WPA(P) 582 of 2025

Nacheba Bibi Sekh

vs.

The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee
Mr. Subrata Mukherjee
Mr. Sauradeep Dutta
Mr. Arpayan Mukherjee
Mr. Mimadree Ghosh

... for the petitioner

Mr. Swapan Kr. Dutta, GP
Ms. Sonal Sinha
Mr. Amrit Lal Chatterjee

... for the State

Mr. Arjun Ray Mukherjee
Mr. D. Mitra

... for the respondent nos.7 & 8/WBPCB

Mr. Dibyo Mukherjee

... for the respondent no.9

Per, Partha Sarathi Sen, J.

1. Parties in the instant writ petition are represented through their respective learned counsel.
2. By filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner prays for issuance of appropriate writ/writs against the respondent authorities more specifically against the respondent nos.2, 3 and 7 to consider the representation as submitted by the writ petitioner, a copy of which has been annexed at page no.53 of the instant writ petition.
3. On perusal of page 53 of the instant writ petition, it reveals that the writ petitioner in her individual capacity prayed for permission to run a brickfield from the A.D.A., Kaliganj Block, Debagram, Nadia.
4. On careful perusal of entire materials as placed before this court and after hearing the learned

counsel for the writ petitioner, it does not transpire to this court that any public interest is at all involved in the instant writ petition.

5. On the contrary it appears to us that the writ petitioner is personally aggrieved for non-consideration of her representation dated 21.01.2025 by the concerned A.D.A.
6. In view of such, we are constrained to hold that the instant writ petition, which is in the nature of public interest litigation, is not at all maintainable and the same is hereby dismissed.
7. Before parting with, it is made clear that the dismissal of the writ petition would not restrict the writ petitioner to pray for similar such relief before the appropriate forum, if so advised.

(Sujoy Paul, C.J.)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)