

28.01.2026
Sl. No.39
NB

CRM (A) 36 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliachak PS Case No.238/2014 dated 02.04.2014 under Sections 341/324/326/307/34 of the IPC with Section 25/27 of Arms Act read with ¾ E.S. Act.

And

In the matter of: Alim Sk.

... petitioner

Mr. Kazi M. Rahman.

...for the petitioner.

Ms. Z. N. Khan,

Mr. Rajes Jana.

..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not the principal accused.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner was named in the FIR that was lodged way back in 2014. He had absconded and a proclamation had to be issued.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the fact that the proclamation has been issued against the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

