

**30.06.2026**  
**sayandeep**  
**Sl. No. 07**  
**Ct. No. 03**

**WPA 28758 of 2023**

Ravi Agrahari  
Vs.  
The Deputy Commissioner, Chandni Chawk  
Charge Dharmatola & ors.

Mr. Abhijat Das  
Mr. Anirban Chatterjee  
Ms. Aratrika Roy  
.... for the petitioner

Ms. Manju Agarwal, Ld. AGP  
Mr. Bijitesh Mukherjee  
Mr. Ramchandra Agarwal  
..... for the State

1. The matter has come up under the heading “Extension of Interim order”. However, having regard to the subsequent events which are narrated herein below, the matter is taken up for final disposal.
2. Challenging appellate order dated 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2023 passed by the appellate authority under Section 107 of the WBGST/CGST Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the instant writ petition has been filed. The petitioner are in fact aggrieved with the order of cancellation of registration which has been effected by order dated 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2022. Though an appeal was preferred therefrom under Section 107 of the said Act, the appellate authority did not accede to the prayer of the petitioner and had dismissed the appeal

by the order impugned. Though the provisions of Section 112 of the said Act provides for further opportunity for preferring an appeal before the appellate tribunal, however, since at the stage when the writ petition was filed, the appellate tribunal was yet to be constituted this Court by order dated 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2023 had been pleased to entertain the writ petition. It was further provided in such order that if the petitioner pay an amount of 20% of the amount of the tax in dispute, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2024. The petitioner claim to have paid the aforesaid amount on 2<sup>nd</sup> January, 2024. Consequent thereupon, the interim order was extended by order dated 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2026 and the same is subsisting.

3. The learned advocates for the parties would however, jointly submits before this Court that the appellate tribunal had not only been constituted but the same has already become functional. Having regard thereto, I am of the view that it shall be prudent at this stage to dispose of the writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate tribunal, within a period of four weeks from date. If such appeal is filed, having regard to the observations made in this order and noting

the factum of payment of 20% of the amount of tax in dispute by the petitioner, the appellate tribunal shall hear out and dispose of the appeal on merits in accordance with law.

4. The respondents are accordingly restrained from taking any coercive action against the petitioner for a period of 4 weeks from date so as to enable to petitioner to file the appeal. The parties shall be at liberty to rely on the pleadings filed before this Court.
5. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)**