

AD 20
February 11, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 26 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Mogra P.S. Case No.509 of 2025 dated 01.11.2025 under Sections 85/316(2)/80(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: *Prabir Ghosh and another* ... petitioners

Mr. Pralay Hazra

... for the petitioners

Ms. Shaila Afrin

Mr. Akash Ganguly

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the alleged victim. The husband and the father-in-law were arrested and thereafter granted bail. Charge-sheet has been submitted with a charge of abetment of suicide. The present petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the statements of the relatives as well as the neighbours who implicate the petitioners. She also relies on the post-mortem report. Although the victim purportedly died due to poisoning, there were bruises and multiple abrasions on several parts of the body of the victim.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)