

27.01.2026  
Sl. No.56  
NB

**CRM (A) 4 of 2026**

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Sagardighi PS Case No.434/2025 dated 09.06.2025 under Sections 109/117(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Merajul Sk. @ Mirajul Seikh

... petitioner

Mr. Mrityunjoy Chatterjee,  
Mr. Manas Das,  
Ms. Suchismita Chakraborty,  
Ms. Nazia Parveen.  
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Sayanti Santra,  
Mr. Debarshi Brahma.  
..for the State.

Copy of Memo of Evidence filed in Court is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. However, the other co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by the Sessions Court. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the injury report and the statements of witnesses including that of the victim.

It appears that the petitioner is the principal accused who hit the petitioner's head with a spade causing lacerated injury.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**