

10.02.2026
Serial no. 14
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2895 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **S.SL. No. 65 of 2025, GR Case No. 328 of 2024 arising out of Salar PS Case No. 68 of 2024 dt. 15.02.2024 u/s 341/323/325/326/307/302/34 of the IPC, 1860.**

-And-

In the matter of : Bandel Sk @ Anar Sk & Ors.

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Mr. Dilip Kumar Sadhu
Ms. Priti barman

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Saryati Datta
Ms. Rajnandini Das

... for the State-respondent(s)

Ms. Minoti Gomes
Mr. Jishan Iqbal Hossain

... for the defacto-complainant

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that that the petitioners are in custody for 106 days and so far as the evidence is concerned, nothing transpired against them, as such, on any stringent conditions, they may be enlarged on bail.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

State has submitted a report.

I have taken into account the contribution of these petitioners who have delayed the whole process of trial.

Having considered that the petitioners initially had been evading the process of law, at this stage, I am not inclined to enlarge them on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2895 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)