

AD 79
January 22, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 2 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Tehatta P.S. Case No.700 of 2025 dated 06.09.2025 under Sections 191(2)/191(3)/190/329(4)/118(2)/109/326(g)/103(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Bullet Mandal @ Debasish Mandal
... petitioner

Mr. Partha Sarathi Mondal
... for the petitioner

Ms. Sonali Das
Mr. Atanu Ghosh
... for the State

Ms. Jeenia Rudra
... for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a minor boy was kidnapped and his dead body was found from a pond. Thereafter the villagers attacked the suspect and murdered him. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the post-mortem report and the statements of eyewitnesses including the ones which were recorded before the learned Magistrate and submits that in five of such statements, the petitioner's name has been specifically mentioned as one of the assailants.

Considering the above and the other materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)