

30.01.2026
Court No.28
Item No.41
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 4418 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Domkal** P.S. Case No.**573 of 2025** dated **03.07.2025** under Sections **179/180** of the BNS, 2023 and 25(1)(a)/35 of the Arms Act, 1959.

And

In the matter of: Anikul Sk @ Anikul Shaikh

....Petitioner.

Mr. Anit Dey

....for the petitioner

Mr. Soumik Ganguly

Mr. Karan Bapuli

....for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is no incriminating material available in the case diary against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of a co-accused as well as the statements of other witnesses who had taken the name of the petitioner as having been involved in such activities. Huge cache of arms along with counterfeit currency were recovered from a co-accused.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)