20th Nov., 2026

Item no.D/L 06
Court No. 24

Pradip, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No. **WPA 32501 of 2026**

In the matter of:

Anish Ray Chaudhuri

.... Petitioner

VS.

Jadavpur University & Ors.

....Respondents

For the Petitioner:

Mr. Vivekananda Bose
Mr. Ratikanta Pal

....Advocates

For the Jadavpur University:

Mr. Dr. Madhusudan Saha Ray
Ms. Debangana Dey

....Advocates

For the Respondent nos.4&5:

Mr. Debottam Das
Mr. Soumyadip Hazra

....Advocates

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is a student studying in 5th Semester Course in the Institute of Business Management affiliated to the Jadavpur University.
3. He is aggrieved by the resolution adopted by the Director, Institute of Business Management communicated to the petitioner vide communication letter dated 17th November, 2026.
4. The impugned resolution has been placed in Court. There are allegations of misbehavior against the petitioner. He has been restricted from attending classes and also from taking forthcoming semester examination. The student, however, has been provided access to academic resources, study materials and reading materials.

5. The restriction of the petitioner to attend classes and appear in the semester examination is, however, yet to be finalized. The Committee has opined to take a final view upon completion of the enquiry and upon consideration of all relevant materials.
6. The enquiry proceeding is continuing.
7. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that there is no formal order communicated to the petitioner restricting him from attending classes. The petitioner denies all the allegations leveled against him.
8. It has been prayed that he may be permitted to appear in the forthcoming semester examination, however, subject to the fate of the enquiry and the disciplinary proceeding.
9. Learned counsel appearing for the Institute of Business Management opposes the prayer of the petitioner.
10. It has been submitted that there are grave allegations against the petitioner and it will not be proper to permit the petitioner to attend the classes at this stage when the enquiry is pending against him.
11. Learned advocate representing the Jadavpur University submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioner.
12. Upon hearing the parties and upon perusal of the documents annexed to the writ petition, the Court is of the opinion that for maintaining healthy academic atmosphere in the college, it may not be proper to permit the petitioner to attend classes at this stage. The enquiry proceeding is ongoing. Though he has been restricted from appearing in the fifth semester examination, but he may take the said examination along with seventh semester examination as and when the same will be conducted, however, subject to the decision of the Institute.

13. As the petitioner is being provided with academic and reading materials, it will be open for the petitioner to continue with his studies and cooperate with the enquiry proceeding so that the Institute may take a favourable decision in his favour at the final stage.
14. It is expected that the Institute shall conclude the enquiry proceeding and the subsequent steps thereto at the earliest without any further delay.
15. It has been brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner applied before the Institute seeking for the rules under which he is being proceeded with. The Institute is directed to take steps to forward the applicable rules to the petitioner at the earliest.
16. As no further issue is required to be adjudicated in this matter, at this stage, accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.
17. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.
18. Certified server copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)