

06.02.2026
Ct. No. 28
Sl. No.11
akd
[Rejected]

C. R. M. (A) 4408 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed on 17.12.2025 in connection with Asansol South Police Station Case No. 360 of 2025 dated 29.10.2025 under Sections 64(1)/62/351(3)/115(2)/117(2)/126(2)/329(4)/110 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re: **ABC**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay
Ms. Sadia Parveen

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

... .. for the de-facto complainant

Mrs. Manisha Sharma
Mr. Tirthankar Dhali

... .. for the State

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the allegation of attempt to rape is against the elder brother-in-law. There exists a civil dispute and a proceeding under Section 144 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was pending in respect of the property.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner assaulted the victim badly, resulting in scalp injury that required eight stitches for repair. She was hospitalized for eight days. There was also a fracture of one of the arms.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She submits that there is a scalp injury that required eight stitches for repair. The victim was hospitalized for a considerable period.

4. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.
5. The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.
6. Urgent Photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)