

10.02.2026
Sl. No.19
NB

CRM (A) 4399 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kalyani PS Case No.588/2025 dated 10.06.2025 under Sections 108/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Dipanjan Chakraborty

... petitioner

Mr. Sudip Patra,
Mr. Prem Prakash,
Mr. Sourath Singh.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Antirikha Basu,
Ms. Manasi Roy.

..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The victim in question had taken money for preparing a website for the petitioner. However, he could not execute the task. When refund of the money was demanded, his relatives allegedly started filing false cases. Finally, he committed suicide. There was hardly any contact between the petitioner and the victim for 39 days prior to the date of suicide. The alleged suicide note, appears to have been made digitally, without signing.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits as follows. He submits that the victim was seriously ill. That is the reason he could not prepare the website. Despite this, the petitioner did not relent. He kept on pressurizing him to pay back the money. In fact, on a particular occasion, the petitioner and others allegedly attacked the house of the victim and assaulted the inmates. Stones were thrown. Household articles were damaged. For this, the present de facto

complainant lodged an FIR being Kalyani PS Case No.341 dated 13.04.2025. Severe pressure was exerted upon the victim and his family members. However, thereafter, one of the accused filed a counter FIR being Kalyani PS Case No.359 dated 16.04.2025 alleging cheating and criminal breach of trust. It appears that, as a result of the pressure created and the illegal acts allegedly perpetrated upon the victim and his family members that he decided to end his life by committing suicide. A note was found in the computer of the petitioner, purportedly written by the victim. It can fairly be treated as a suicide note.

In normal course, if X owes money to Y and Y makes a demand for the same resulting in X committing suicide, there would hardly be a case made out for abetment of suicide. However, in the instant case, there are certain special features. The petitioner did not confine himself only to demand repayment of money. They also allegedly attacked the house of the petitioner. Stones were allegedly pelted and inmates assaulted. An FIR has already been registered in this regard.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)