

10.02.2026
Serial no. 16
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 91 of 2026

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Goghat PS Case No. 169 of 2025 dated 19.04.2025 u/s 64(1) of the BNS, 2023 and u/s 4 of the POCSO Act, 2012 in connection with POCSO Case No. 21 of 2025.**

-And-

In the matter of : XXX

... Petitioner(s)

Sk. Zubair Ahmed

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arindam Sen
Mr. Pravash Bhattacharyya

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 293 days. Learned advocate also submits that there was social engagement between the petitioner and the victim.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary.

However, I do not find any material appearing in the case diary.

Additionally, it is submitted that the examination of mother of the victim and the victim is still left.

If, after the evidence of the mother of the victim and the victim, the petitioner renews his prayer for bail before

the learned trial court, in that case, the trial court would leniently consider petitioner's bail application.

Since, materials are appearing in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 91 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)