

27/01/2026
D/L - 31
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 4367 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Adra P.S case no. 97 of 2025 dated 6/12/2025 under sections 318(4)/316(2)/316(5)/61(2)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Avisek Banerjee & Anr.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Jaydeep Biswas

Mr. A.K. Upadhyay

...for the petitioners.

Ms. Amita Gour

Mr. ASif Dewan

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioner no. 1 is a dealer of motor bike and the petitioner no. 2 is a staff who is outsourced by the HDFC Bank to procure business of loans. It is alleged that the HDFC bank in its internal inquiry found that out of 82 loans processed by the dealer, 69 loans had discrepancies and there was an outstanding sum of Rs.63 Lakhs in respect of the same. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in this case.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the *modus operandi* of the petitioners was that the local aged people from the locality used to be contacted. They used to be given paltry sums like Rs.1000/- or Rs.2000/- and they were duped to sign on fake documents of loan

transactions for automobiles. Actually, the vehicles in questions were never transacted and some were even registered in some other States. After the money came into the account of the petitioner no. 1, he used to take it away to his personal account. The petitioner no. 2 also played an active role in this.

3. In view of the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)