26.11.2026
Item No.39
Ct.No.35
dc.
Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 3030 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Singur Police Station Case No. 273 of 2024 dated 26.06.2024 under Sections 394/395/397/412 of the Indian Penal Code,1860 (G.R. Case No. 1202/2024).

And

In Re : **Abhijit Mondal @ Avijit Mondal**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Tapas Kumar Ghosh,
Mr. Tanmay Chowdhury

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Debojyoti Dey,
Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is similarly placed as Sk Suraj @ Raja who has been granted bail by this Court on 17.07.2026 in CRM(M) 1256 of 2026. It has been submitted that petitioner is in custody for 2 years 4 months and till date, there is no possibility of the trial concluding in near future. As such, petitioner prays for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and produces the Memo of Evidence which reflects that about ten witnesses have been examined and dates are being fixed for further evidence.

Having regard to the fact that similarly placed accused person has already been released on bail in CRM(M) 1256 of 2026, I am inclined to extend the same benefit to the present

petitioner. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Abhijit Mondal @ Avijit Mondal** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandernagore, Hooghly.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Hooghly without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 3030 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)