03
20.11.2026
Court No.24
pg.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 31956 of 2026

Subhas Chandra Hazra
-versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Usha Maiti
Mr. Sakya Maity
... For the petitioner

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
Mr. Suchindram Bhattacharjee
... For the State

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharjee
Mr. Pingal Bhattacharyya
Mr. Rajdeep Sinha
... For the Intervenors

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.

2. The petitioner was serving as an Assistant Headmaster and Teacher-in-Charge of a school. Certain untoward incidents happened in the school premises on 30th July, 2026 and the police had to intervene in the matter.

3. The petitioner approached the Court by filing an earlier writ petition, being WPA 25184 of 2026. After hearing all the parties, the Court disposed of the writ petition on 16th September, 2026 by directing the District Inspector of Schools (SE), Paschim Medinipur to grant an opportunity to the petitioner and all

stakeholders to find out a solution to the problem that had cropped up.

4. The resignation submitted by the petitioner is the bone of contention. According to the petitioner, he was forcefully made to sign the resignation letter. He did not sign the resignation letter voluntarily. The contents of the said letter were written by third party and not by the petitioner.

5. In compliance of the direction passed by the Court, the District Inspector of Schools heard the petitioner and other stakeholders. A report from the Officer-in-Charge of the Gurguripal Police Station was also sought for.

6. The District Inspector of Schools has opined that for ascertaining proper facts and for proper adjudication of the issue, an in-situ enquiry will be conducted and the disciplinary authority of the Board would be requested to take appropriate measures, if the petitioner was found guilty.

7. Until completion of enquiry or till completion of the disciplinary proceeding by the Board, the petitioner has been requested not to officiate as Assistant Headmaster and Teacher-in-Charge of the school as he already tendered his resignation.

8. The petitioner is aggrieved by the same. It has been submitted that as the so-called resignation was neither authored by the petitioner nor voluntarily

signed by him, accordingly, the resignation ought not to be accepted.

9. Learned counsel representing the Intervenors being the Assistant Teachers of the subject school, hands over the Office Order dated 17th November, 2026 issued by the District Inspector of Schools indicating that 23rd November, 2026 is the date for conducting in-situ inspection of the school. An enquiry team appears to have been constituted and the members of the enquiry team are mentioned in the Office Order.

10. From the submissions made on behalf of the parties it appears that the District Inspector of Schools is in the process of acting in compliance of the direction passed by the Court on 16th September, 2026 and an enquiry team has been constituted to ascertain the allegation made by the petitioner and the intervenors. The Court does not find it appropriate to intervene in the matter at this stage.

11. The District Inspector of Schools (SE), Paschim Medinipur shall take steps in the matter strictly in accordance with law and in compliance of the direction passed by the Court.

12. As the writ petition is disposed of without calling for affidavits, allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by any of the parties including the Intervenors whose names are appearing against serial numbers 4 to 14 of the document annexed at page 146 of the writ petition.

13. The writ petition stands disposed of.

14. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

15. Certified server copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)