

21.01.2026
Sl. No.50
NB

CRM (A) 4310 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Murutia PS Case No.278/2025 dated 23.10.2025 under Sections 115(2)/126(2)/62/64/76 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Smarajit Roy @ Swarajit Roy

... petitioner

Mr. Asraf Mandal
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyankar Ganguly,
Ms. Shalini Bairagi,
Ms. Pipasa Chakraborty.
...for the de facto complainant.

Mr. Binoy Kumar Panda,
Mr. Sandip Kundu.
..for the State.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the de facto complainant and the State.

Perused the case diary.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate, and the injury report showing abrasion and bruises and the statements of the post-occurrence witnesses, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

