

S/L 4  
19.03.2026  
Court No.18  
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 28697 of 2025

Subhomoy Das  
Vs.  
The Union of India & Ors.

*Mr. Pritam Chowdhury*  
*Mr. Dilip Kumar Sadhu*

*...for the Petitioner.*

1. The petitioner participated for recruitment as Constable (GD) in Central Armed Police Forces.
2. He has been declared medically unfit by the Detailed Medical Examination Board due to 1) defective distant vision, 2) left ear wax and 3) left C.A. less than 10 degree angle. The Review Medical Examination Board found the petitioner to be unfit due to left hand carrying angle defect.
3. The finding of the Detailed Medical Examination Board as regards the defective carrying angle was reiterated by the Review Medical Examination Board.
4. The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which he has been medically examined by the Detailed Medical Examination Board and the Review Medical Examination Board.
5. The petitioner got himself examined in the State Government hospital but not defect was detected.
6. Prayer has been made to reevaluate and reexamine the physical fitness of the petitioner by an independent medical board.

7. As per the Recruitment Rules, the decision of the Review Medical Board is final and no appeal can be entertained.

8. As it appears that the expert doctors of the recruiting authority detected the aforementioned defect in the petitioner which is ground for disqualification of candidature, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the said findings.

9. As the petitioner participated for recruitment in the Central Armed Police Force, the medical certificate relied upon by the petitioner obtained from the State Government hospital in support of the submission that he does not suffer from any such defect, cannot be accepted.

10. The standard of fitness of a civilian is not the same as required in the armed forces where strict and stringent methods to assess physical fitness are resorted to.

11. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant writ petition. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

12. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

**(Amrita Sinha, J.)**